

## Behe's Analogies: Helpful or Misleading?

### General Comments

#### Outside sources/research?

- the essay is well written, it addresses the topic very well but I don't see any evidence of outside research other than topics that were covered in class. That was the point of this assignment.

### Introduction

- Your introduction goes hand in hand with your title. It gets to the point immediately
- Your thesis is clear: "Ultimately, Behe's analogies are flawed and distorted; moreover, Behe's arguments are misleading to the average reader because they apply simple analogies to complex scientific concepts, in turn creating a skewed view of what is scientifically plausible."

### First Body paragraph

- I like how you state an argument and back it up with evidence
- So maybe, you could relate this back to your title. You've presented a well thought out argument, but you need that last connection back to the title.

### Second Body Paragraph

- "A Rube Goldberg machine is a satirical, cartoon device that uses **and** unnecessary..." – it should be an, not and
- You're presenting examples and relating it to your thesis, but have you done external research? Because until now, all I've read about is Behe's book.

### Third Body Paragraph

- “Behe reverses the tables on this assumption by stating that is ignorant to not consider the supernatural”. It should be, that *it* is
- So if we cant just say God did it, how would you react to scientists such as Collins? He’s a well-known scientist who believes in God.

### Conclusion

- you haven’t talked about scientists as poor communicators until now. You shouldn’t add new information in the conclusion of an essay
- I like how you set the conclusion to go hand in hand with your title.