

Paper 2

I think your paper actually addresses a few different theses, yet the introductory paragraph doesn't nail one down. One is an analysis of Kuhn's work, which isn't actually a thesis but is something you address for half of your paper. The paper could be about that alone. The second is almost a point by point explanation of the differences between Kuhn and Popper. I feel this is where you were trying to go with the paper. This part is solid. I think you could elaborate a bit more, taking some of the more advanced concepts from your explanation of Kuhn's theory and rather than explaining them early on and alone, explain them in contrast to Popper. You ask, but why was this revolution in favor of Kuhn's idea? I don't think you really answer that question. Instead you prove how Kuhn may have been right. We only know he was correct retrospectively. We can see how his idea was adopted and note that it coincides with his theory, but at the time that was not a reason for why scientists accepted it. I think you should answer this question or take it out. As I said earlier your paper has a lot of different points. I think you should be fine as your writing on each of these topics is very clear and concise however I do think you need to pick just one and flesh it out a bit more.