

Introduction

Grammar:

Some of your sentences feel too long and I needed to read them more than once to understand them. For example, “the religious conversion of an....current paradigm” should probably be split into multiple sentences.

For some reason, the word “worldview” (used at the beginning and end of the paragraph) stands out and sounds a bit odd. Maybe you could try “philosophy” or just “thoughts”?

Content:

When you say that “religion is an institution that will never mirror the paradigmatic structure of scientific fields,” this almost sounds too strong especially since you really haven’t explained yourself at this point. You go on to explain yourself clearly, so why don’t you switch this strong assertion with the following explanation? I think this would make more sense.

I think your thesis could be stronger. You have already said everything your thesis says before, so could you maybe outline your essay a little more specifically in your essay.

1st Body Paragraph

Content: Good content in this paragraph. You are saying a lot, and maybe could split it into two shorter paragraphs since this one is pretty long.

The sentence “will a figure like Newton come along.....?” This is a really thought-provoking idea. But, in a way, Christianity is like this. Christians believe in one God – one paradigm. And while clearly other religions exist and prosper, could you address Christianity as a paradigm in itself?

2nd Body Paragraph

Grammar:

A little picky, but you should say “Dromen argues, “to convert to a different...” You can eliminate the that, add a comma, and change To to to.

Content:

Clear explanation as to why an individual cannot undergo a paradigm shift.

Do group religious conversions ever happen uniformly? What about ancient religions dying out and others being created? I think someone could use this as a counterargument to your idea.

3rd Body Paragraph

Content:

You make excellent points in this paragraph about the “fluidity” of religion opposed to the strict views of science. This point is very strong and as a reader, I believe it fully.

The word “latitude” in the first sentence seems odd? Do you mean greater ease? Greater ability?

Conclusion

The first sentence of your conclusion is too long, and I had to read it multiple times to understand it. I think it would be split up. The points in your conclusion are strong and well supported by your essay.

Overall, great essay! You could shorten some of your sentences by eliminating some unnecessary words. I think you could also strengthen your thesis statement with some of the excellent points you make in your body paragraphs.