

Paper 2

Introduction

-Your definition of determinism is confusing. Use a standard definition. Be sure to include that all events, including human choices, are the *inevitable* result of previous events.

- It is clear what your paper is about

Body Paragraph 1

- Just because determinism is theoretical doesn't make it untrue

Body Paragraph 2

- "to-the-Book" should be "by-the-book"
- It seems that the orthodox vs. the casual Christian in your example is differentiated by amount of education about their religion. You are saying that the casual Christian can accept determinism simply because he does not know his own faith well enough in order to find contradictions.

Body Paragraph 3

- What about the vast amount of Christians who interpret the Bible rather than take each passage literally?

Body Paragraph 5 (conclusion)

-If God is determining what will happen, then is that really any more of free will than the scientific doctrine of determinism?

- Asking about when people go against their gut feelings and then not answering the question discredits all you've said about how God determines what you do by means of those feelings.

Overall Comments

- Titles of books when typing should be in italics, not underlined
- Use of "..." is not grammatical. In all cases within the paper, you should use either nothing at all or a comma where appropriate.
- Your points were very clear and well-organized. I had no trouble understanding your argument, although I'm not sure I agree that God determining things is really any less fatal to the notion of free will.